

|   |                        |                        |  |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>    |  |
|   | 10/019,397             | POULAKIS, KONSTANTINOS |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>        |  |
|   | Barbara J. Musser      | 1733                   |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Rejected

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Bicks.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner left a voice-mail message indicating that after final would be entered but that it did not make the claims allowable.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)